

COUR INTERNATIONALE DE JUSTICE
MÉMOIRES, PLAIDOIRIES ET DOCUMENTS

AFFAIRE RELATIVE
À DES ACTIONS ARMÉES FRONTALIÈRES
ET TRANSFRONTALIÈRES

(NICARAGUA c. HONDURAS)

VOLUME I

Requête; mémoire; contre-mémoire



INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE
PLEADINGS, ORAL ARGUMENTS, DOCUMENTS

CASE CONCERNING
BORDER AND TRANSBORDER
ARMED ACTIONS

(NICARAGUA v. HONDURAS)

VOLUME I

Application; Memorial; Counter-Memorial



Référence abrégée:

*C.I.J. Mémoires, Actions armées frontalières et transfrontalières
(Nicaragua c. Honduras), vol. I*

Abbreviated reference:

*I.C.J. Pleadings, Border and Transborder Armed Actions
(Nicaragua v. Honduras), Vol. I*

ISSN 0074-4433
ISBN 92-1-070755-9

N° de vente:	690
Sales number	

AFFAIRE RELATIVE
À DES ACTIONS ARMÉES FRONTALIÈRES
ET TRANSFRONTALIÈRES (NICARAGUA *c.* HONDURAS)

CASE CONCERNING
BORDER AND TRANSBORDER ARMED ACTIONS
(NICARAGUA *v.* HONDURAS)

COUR INTERNATIONALE DE JUSTICE
MÉMOIRES. PLAIDOIRIES ET DOCUMENTS

AFFAIRE RELATIVE
À DES ACTIONS ARMÉES FRONTALIÈRES
ET TRANSFRONTALIÈRES

(NICARAGUA c. HONDURAS)

VOLUME I

Requête; mémoire; contre-mémoire

INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE
PLEADINGS, ORAL ARGUMENTS, DOCUMENTS

CASE CONCERNING
BORDER AND TRANSBORDER
ARMED ACTIONS

(NICARAGUA v. HONDURAS)

VOLUME I

Application; Memorial; Counter-Memorial



L'affaire relative à des *Actions armées frontalières et transfrontalières (Nicaragua c. Honduras)*, inscrite au rôle général de la Cour sous le numéro 74 le 28 juillet 1986, a fait l'objet d'un arrêt rendu le 20 décembre 1988 portant sur la compétence de la Cour et la recevabilité de la requête (*Actions armées frontalières et transfrontalières (Nicaragua c. Honduras), compétence et recevabilité, arrêt, C.I.J. Recueil 1988, p. 69*). A la suite du désistement du gouvernement demandeur, elle a été rayée du rôle par ordonnance de la Cour du 27 mai 1992 (*Actions armées frontalières et transfrontalières (Nicaragua c. Honduras), ordonnance du 27 mai 1992, C.I.J. Recueil 1992, p. 222*).

Les pièces de procédure écrite et les plaidoiries relatives à cette affaire sont publiées dans l'ordre suivant :

Volume I. Requête introductive d'instance du Nicaragua; mémoire du Honduras; contre-mémoire du Nicaragua; demande en indication de mesures conservatoires du Nicaragua.

Volume II. Procédure orale; documents présentés à la Cour après la fin de la procédure écrite; correspondance.

Dans les références, les chiffres romains gras indiquent les volumes de la présente édition. La pagination et les renvois des pièces de procédure et des comptes rendus des audiences ont été modifiés en conséquence.

Ni la typographie ni la présentation ne sauraient être utilisées aux fins de l'interprétation des textes reproduits.

The case concerning *Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras)*, which was entered on the Court's General List on 28 July 1986 under Number 74, was the subject of a Judgment delivered on 20 December 1988 concerning the jurisdiction of the Court and the admissibility of the Application (*Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras), Jurisdiction and Admissibility, Judgment, I.C.J. Reports 1988, p. 69*). Following discontinuance by the Applicant Government, the case was removed from the list by an Order of the Court of 27 May 1992 (*Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras), Order of 27 May 1992, I.C.J. Reports 1992, p. 222*).

The pleadings and oral arguments in the case are being published in the following order:

Volume I. Application instituting proceedings of Nicaragua; Memorial of Honduras; Counter-Memorial of Nicaragua; request for the indication of provisional measures of Nicaragua.

Volume II. Oral Arguments; Documents submitted to the Court after the closure of the written proceedings; Correspondence.

In internal references, bold Roman numerals are used to refer to Volumes of this edition. The pagination and the cross-references in the pleadings and in the verbatim records of the hearings have been altered consequently.

Neither the typography nor the presentation may be used for the purpose of interpreting the texts reproduced.

TABLE DES MATIÈRES — CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Requête introductive d'instance présentée par le Gouvernement du Nicaragua — Application Instituting Proceedings Submitted by the Government of Nicaragua	
I. STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
II. LEGAL GROUNDS ON WHICH THE CLAIM IS BASED	5
1. Breaches of the Charter of the United Nations	5
2. Breaches of the Charter of the Organization of American States	6
3. Breaches of the American Treaty for the Peaceful Settlement [of Disputes] (Pact of Bogotá)	6
4. Breaches of the obligation of customary international law not to intervene in the affairs of another State	6
5. Breaches of the obligation of customary international law not to use force against another State	6
6. Breaches of the obligation of customary international law not to violate the sovereignty of another State	6
7. Breaches of the obligation of customary international law not to kill, wound or kidnap the citizens of other States	7
III. THE NATURE OF THE CLAIM	7
Mémoire du Honduras (compétence et recevabilité) — Memorial of Honduras (Jurisdiction and Admissibility)	
INTRODUCTION	11
PART I. THE BACKGROUND OF THE DISPUTE	15
Chapter I. The present dispute as part of the general conflict in Central America	15
Section I. The causes of the conflict in Central America	15
Section II. The position of Honduras in the Central American conflict	17
Section III. The peaceful settlement of disputes within the framework of the OAS	21
Section IV. The efforts to achieve peaceful settlement of the conflict: from bilateral to multilateral procedures	22
Section V. The Contadora negotiations as a "special procedure" within the meaning of Article II of the Pact of Bogotá	25
Section VI. Nicaraguan responsibilities for blocking the Contadora process	30
Chapter II. Analysis of the Nicaraguan Application	33
Section I. Artificiality of the Application	34
Section II. Vagueness of the Application	37
Section III. Concluding remarks	39

	<i>Page</i>
PART II. THE QUESTION OF THE COMPETENCE OF THE COURT	40
Introduction	40
Chapter III. Objections to the admissibility of the dispute	41
Section I. The requirement that, in the opinion of the parties, the dispute cannot be settled by direct negotiations	42
Section II. The obligation on parties, having opted for a "special procedure" for the settlement of any controversy, not to com- mence any other procedure until that "special procedure" has been concluded	43
Chapter IV. Objections to the jurisdiction of the Court	49
Section I. The Statute of the Court	49
A. Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court and the declarations made thereunder by Honduras and Nicaragua	50
1. The position of Honduras	50
2. <i>The position of Nicaragua</i>	53
3. The effect of the reservations made by Nicaragua and Honduras to the jurisdiction of the Court	54
(a) Nicaragua's "reservation"	54
(b) Reservations of Honduras	55
(i) The reservation of "disputes relating to facts or situations originating in armed conflicts or acts of a similar nature which may affect the territory of the Republic of Honduras, and in which it may find itself involved directly or indirectly" (para. 2 (c) of the declaration)	56
(ii) The reservation of "disputes in respect of which the parties have agreed or may agree to resort to other means for the <i>pacific settlement</i> of disputes" (para. 2 (a) of the declaration)	56
B. Article 36, paragraph 1, of the Statute of the Court and its relation to the Pact of Bogotá	57
Section II. The system of the Pact of Bogotá	57
A. Articulation of the regional system and of the general sys- tem for the settlement of disputes	58
B. The general spirit and the ultimate purpose of the Pact of Bogotá	61
C. Examination of the relevant provisions of the Pact of Bo- gotá	63
1. Articles II and IV of the Pact of Bogotá	64
2. Situation of Article XXXI within Chapter IV of the Pact of Bogotá	64
D. The effect of reservations in the context of the alternative analysis of Article XXXI of the Pact	75
1. The effect of reservations to declarations concerning Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court	75
2. Effect of reservations concerning the Pact of Bogotá	76

	<i>Page</i>
3. Examination of the intention of Honduras in the present case	77
4. Conclusions	78
SUBMISSIONS	80
<i>Annexes to the Memorial of Honduras</i>	
A. Regional negotiations among Central American States	
<i>Annex 1.</i> Resolution II approved by the XVIIIth Meeting of Consultation of Ministers of Foreign Relations of the Organization of American States (OAS), 23 June 1979	81
<i>Annex 2.</i> Guasaule Declaration, joint communiqué issued by His Excellency the President of the Republic of Honduras, General Policarpo Paz García, and the Co-ordinator of the National Reconstruction Governing Junta of Nicaragua, Commander in the Revolution Daniel Ortega Saavedra, as a result of their meeting on 13 May 1981 at the frontier station of El Guasaule, Nicaragua, 13 May 1981	83
<i>Annex 3.</i> Plan of the Government of Honduras to internationalize peace in Central America; Address by the Minister of Foreign Relations of Honduras to the Permanent Council of the Organization of American States (OAS), 23 March 1982 (excerpts)	85
<i>Annex 4.</i> Note of the Minister of Foreign Relations of Honduras to the Minister of Foreign Relations of Nicaragua, 23 April 1982	87
<i>Annex 5.</i> Note of the Minister of Foreign Relations of Honduras to the Minister of Foreign Relations of Nicaragua, 14 May 1982	92
<i>Annex 6.</i> Final Act of the Meeting of Ministers for Foreign Relations of Countries Interested in the Furthering of Democracy in Central America and the Caribbean, Points I, II and III, 4 October 1982	94
<i>Annex 7.</i> Note from the Permanent Mission of Honduras transcribing the text of the invitation that the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Honduras sent to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Nicaragua to visit the border zone between the two countries, 22 February 1983	96
<i>Annex 8.</i> Report of the Minister for Foreign Relations to the National Congress of Honduras dated 15 June 1983 (excerpt)	98
<i>Annex 9.</i> Declaration of Contadora Island by the Ministers of Foreign Relations of Colombia, Mexico, Panama and Venezuela, 9 January 1983	103
<i>Annex 10.</i> Draft resolution presented by the Permanent Mission of Honduras at the special meeting of the Permanent Council of the Organization of American States held on 5 April 1983	106
<i>Annex 11.</i> Informative Bulletin of the Contadora Group, 21 April 1983	108
<i>Annex 12.</i> Statement by the Permanent Representative of Honduras to the Permanent Council of the OAS relating to threats to Central American peace and security on 14 July 1983	110
<i>Annex 13.</i> Cancún Declaration on Peace in Central America, Declaration by the Presidents of Colombia, Mexico, Panama and Venezuela (United Nations document S/15877, Annex), Cancún, 17 July 1983	120

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Annex 14.</i> Speech of 19 July 1983 by Commander Daniel Ortega Saavedra, Co-ordinator of the National Reconstruction Governing Junta of Nicaragua, <i>La Tribuna</i> , Tegucigalpa, 22 July 1983 (excerpt)	124
<i>Annex 15.</i> Press release of the Ministers of Foreign Relations of the Contadora Group and of the Central American Countries, 30 July 1983	126
<i>Annex 16.</i> "Document of Objectives" issued by the Joint Meeting of Ministers of Foreign Relations of the Contadora Group and of the Central American Countries (United Nations document S/16041, Annex), 9 September 1983	127
<i>Annex 17.</i> Measures to be taken to fulfil the commitments entered into in the Document of Objectives by the Joint Meeting of Ministers of Foreign Relations of the Contadora Group and the Central American Countries (United Nations document A/39/71, S/16262, Appendix), 8 January 1984	130
<i>Annex 18.</i> Lettre, en date du 1 ^{er} mai 1984, adressée au Secrétaire général de l'Organisation des Nations Unies par la représentante du Panama. Annexe: Bulletin d'information publié à Panama le 1 ^{er} mai 1984 par les ministres des relations extérieures des pays membres du groupe de Contadora et des pays d'Amérique centrale (Nations Unies, doc. S/16522), 1 ^{er} mai 1984	133
<i>Annex 19.</i> Note from the Contadora Group to the Permanent Council of the Organization of American States, enclosing for distribution the second version of the "Contadora Act for Peace and Co-operation in Central America" of 7 September 1984, OEA/SER. G/CP/Inf. 2222/84, 24 October 1984	137
<i>Annex 20.</i> Declaration of the Foreign Ministers of the Contadora Group at the close of the meeting of 8 and 9 January 1985	138
<i>Annex 21.</i> Report of the Secretary-General of the United Nations, together with the cover of Annex V concerning the third version of the "Contadora Act for Peace and Co-operation in Central America" (United Nations document A/40/737, S/17549), 9 October 1985	141
<i>Annex 22.</i> Letter from the President of Nicaragua to the Presidents of the countries of the Contadora Group and the Support Group (United Nations document A/40/894, S/17634, Annex), 11 November 1985	144
<i>Annex 23.</i> Note from the Ambassador, Permanent Representative of Panama, forwarding the text of the communiqué issued by the Contadora Group at the end of the most recent meeting of plenipotentiaries on 21 November 1985	155
<i>Annex 24.</i> Caraballeda Message for Peace, Security and Democracy in Central America, issued by the Ministers of Foreign Relations of the Contadora Group and the Support Group (United Nations document A/40/1075, S/17736, Annex), 12 January 1986	157
<i>Annex 25.</i> Joint communiqué of the plenipotentiaries of Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras (United Nations document A/40/1117, S/18074, Annex), 18 May 1986	161
<i>Annex 26.</i> Esquipulas Declaration, issued by the Presidents of Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua (United Nations document A/40/1119, S/18106, Annex), 25 May 1986	162

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Annex 27.</i> Letter from the Ministers of Foreign Relations of the Contadora Group to the Secretary-General of the United Nations (United Nations document A/40/1136, S/18184, Annex 1), 26 June 1986	165
<i>Annex 28.</i> Excerpts from the interview of the President of Nicaragua by the Spanish Information Network (SIN), 27 July 1986 (a copy of the video-tape has been deposited at the Registry of the International Court of Justice)	171
<i>Annex 29.</i> Resolutions of the General Assembly of the Organization of American States concerning "Peace Efforts in Central America"	
Document A. AG/Res. 675 (XIII-0/83): 18 November 1983	172
Document B. AG/Res. 702 (XIV-0/84): 17 November 1984	173
Document C. AG/Res. 770 (XV-0/85): 9 December 1985	174
Document D. AG/cgdoc. 23/86: 14 November 1986	175
<i>Annex 30.</i> Resolutions of the General Assembly of the United Nations concerning the situation in Central America: Threats to international peace and security and peace initiatives	
Document A. 38/10 of 11 November 1983	177
Document B. 39/4 of 26 October 1984	179
Document C. 41 of 17 November 1986	180
<i>Annex 31.</i> Extract from the Final Act of the Luxembourg Conference, 11 and 12 November 1985	183
<i>Annex 32.</i> Address by the Minister of Foreign Relations of Honduras to the General Assembly of the Organization of American States, 13 November 1986 (excerpts)	184
<i>Annex 33.</i> Communiqué of the Ministers of Foreign Relations of the Contadora Group and of the Support Group, following their peace mission to the capitals of the five Central American countries, accompanied by the Secretaries-General of the Organization of American States and of the United Nations, Mexico City, January 1987	185
B. Pact of Bogotá	
<i>Annex 34.</i> American Treaty on Pacific Settlement ("Pact of Bogotá"), signed at the Ninth International Conference of American States, Bogotá, 30 March-2 May 1948, official English text	187
<i>Annex 35.</i> Traité américain de règlement pacifique ("Pacte de Bogotá"), official French text	199
<i>Annex 36.</i> Tratado Americano de Soluciones Pacificas ("Pacto de Bogotá"), official Spanish text	210
<i>Annex 37.</i> Report of the Secretary General of the Organization of American States presented to the Council of the Organization of American States on 3 November 1948, <i>OAS Annals</i> , Vol. 1, No. 2, 1949, pp. 45-54	221
<i>Annex 38.</i> Annex III to the Application in the case concerning the <i>Arbitral Award Made by the King of Spain on 23 December 1906 (Honduras v. Nicaragua)</i> , <i>I.C.J. Pleadings (A: Washington Agreement of 21 July 1957; B: Resolution of the Council of the Organization of American States passed on 5 July 1957)</i>	231

	<i>Page</i>
A. Washington Agreement of 21 July 1957	231
B. Resolution of the Council of the Organization of American States, taken on 5 July 1957	233
<i>Annex 39.</i> Communication of the text of Decree No. 79-86 of 22 May 1986 of the National Congress of the Republic of Honduras, <i>La Gaceta</i> , No. 24.940, 6 June 1986	236
<i>Annex 40</i>	
A: Communication of the Government of Honduras to the Secretary General of the Organization of American States of the text of Decree No. 79/86 of the National Congress of Honduras on the modifications of the Honduran Declaration of Recognition of the Compulsory Jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, 26 May 1986	238
B: Note from the Secretary General of the Organization of American States to the Permanent Representative of Honduras to the Organization of American States, 30 June 1986	240
<i>Annex 41.</i> Communication of the text of Decree No. 79/86 to the Permanent Representatives of the Member States of the Organization of American States (Colombia, Ecuador, Paraguay, etc.) by the Secretary General of the Organization of American States, 30 June 1986	241
C. General documents	
<i>Annex 42.</i> Note of the Minister of Foreign Relations of Honduras to the Registrar of the International Court of Justice, 29 August 1986	242
<i>Annex 43.</i> Decree No. 75-86 of 22 May 1986 of the National Congress of the Republic of Honduras, <i>La Gaceta</i> , No. 24.936, 4 June 1986	243
<i>Annex 44.</i> Translations of the Declaration of Honduras of 22 May 1986 accepting the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, for publication in the <i>Yearbook</i> of the International Court of Justice for 1985-1986. A: English text; B: French text	245
<i>Annex 45.</i> Note from the Chargé d'affaires of the Embassy of Honduras in the Netherlands to the Registrar of the International Court of Justice, 24 April 1984	246
<i>Annex 46.</i> Excerpt concerning Honduras from the Report of the High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR), 1985-1986 (United Nations document A/AC.96/677 (Part V), pp. 12-16), 15 July 1986	250
<i>Annex 47.</i> Human rights of the Nicaraguan population of Miskito origin. A: Report of the Inter-American Commission of Human Rights: Table of Contents and Introduction (OEA/Ser.L/V/11.62, doc. 10, rev. 3), 29 November 1983; B: Excerpts from the periodical <i>La Tribuna</i> of Tegucigalpa, concerning the exodus of the Miskito population of Nicaragua under the direction of the Bishop of Bluefields, Monsignor Salvador Schlaefer, 24 December 1983	250
D. Border incidents	
<i>Annex 48.</i> Chronology of incidents with the Republic of Nicaragua, according to the Certificates of the Ministry of Foreign Relations of Honduras	
A. From 29 July 1979 through 19 November 1981	251
B. From 19 January 1982 through 17 July 1986	255

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Annex 49.</i> Communication of the Protests by the Government of Honduras to the Organization of American States following the destruction in the Gulf of Fonseca of a Honduran helicopter	
Document A. Note No. 15/84 of 8 May 1984 (OEA/Ser.G/CP/INF.2159/84)	269
Document B. Note No. 16/84 of 9 May 1984 (OEA/Ser.G/CP/INF.2162/84)	270
<i>Annex 50.</i> Note No. 04/86 from the Ambassador, Permanent Representative of Honduras, transcribing the text of the Note dated March 25, 1986, sent by the Secretary of Foreign Affairs of Honduras to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Nicaragua (OEA/Ser.G/CP.INF.2392/86)	273
<i>Annex 51.</i> IncurSION by the Sandinista People's Army into Honduras from 4 to 8 December 1986	
A. Chronology of the invasion of Honduras by the troops of the Sandinista People's Army (SPA) (4 to 8 December 1986)	275
B. Note from the Minister of Foreign Relations of Honduras to the Foreign Minister of Nicaragua, 5 December 1986	276
C. Note from the Minister of Foreign Relations of Honduras to the Foreign Minister of Nicaragua, 6 December 1986	277
D. Press Release No. 091-86 concerning the murder of a Honduran soldier captured by the Sandinista Forces, 17 December 1986	278
Contre-mémoire du Nicaragua (compétence et recevabilité) — Counter-Memorial of Nicaragua (Jurisdiction and Admissibility)	
INTRODUCTION	281
PART I. JURISDICTION UNDER ARTICLE 36 (2) OF THE STATUTE OF THE COURT	296
Chapter 1. The Court has jurisdiction under Article 36 (2) of its Statute, and the Honduran declaration of 22 May 1986 is not effective	297
A. Having been made with no stipulations as to duration, the "new declaration" by Honduras could not be withdrawn or modified	297
B. In any event, the change adopted by Honduras cannot be invoked against Nicaragua	304
PART II. JURISDICTION UNDER THE PACT OF BOGOTÁ	310
Chapter 2. Article XXXI of the Pact of Bogotá provides a separate and independent basis of jurisdiction in this case	310
A. The purported reservation of Honduras to the Pact of Bogotá was not made at the time of signature or adherence to the Treaty and is therefore ineffective to vary the obligations of Honduras under the Pact	311
B. Article XXXI establishes a binding obligation to submit to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to disputes in the enumerated categories between parties to the Treaty, independent of	

	<i>Page</i>
any other unilateral or bilateral undertaking of any party with respect to such disputes	313
1. The language of the text	314
2. Purpose	315
3. <i>Travaux préparatoires</i>	318
4. Contemporaneous understanding	319
5. The opinions of American jurists and other publicists	320
Chapter 3. The jurisdiction of the Court under Article XXXI of the Pact is not subject to a condition precedent of exhaustion of the conciliation process	321
A. Comparison of the texts of Articles XXXI and XXXII shows that they are separate and independent modes of access to the Court, and resort to the Court under Article XXXI is not subject to a precondition of conciliation	321
B. The work of the Inter-American Juridical Committee both in preparation for the Conference and in subsequent review of the Pact of Bogotá supports the textual interpretation that direct resort to the Court is available under Article XXXI without the necessity of prior conciliation	323
C. The weight of the teachings of the most highly qualified publicists of the Inter-American system supports the position that exhaustion of the conciliation procedure is not a precondition to resort to the Court under Article XXXI of the Pact	327
1. Publicists not cited in the Memorial of Honduras	328
2. Publicists cited in the Memorial of Honduras	333
Conclusion	335
Chapter 4. Articles II and IV of the Pact of Bogotá do not constitute a bar to the Court's jurisdiction in the present dispute	336
A. Article II does not condition recourse to the Court under Article XXXI upon agreement by all parties that a dispute cannot be settled by direct negotiations	337
B. The Contadora process is not a "special procedure" under Article II of the Pact of Bogotá and, in any event, it does not address the bilateral legal dispute between Nicaragua and Honduras	341
1. Neither the parties, the Contadora countries nor any other State or competent international organization has given any indication, express or implied, that the Contadora process is a special procedure within the meaning of Article II	342
2. The Contadora process cannot have the effect of waiving recourse to other procedures, as required by Article IV of the Pact, because it has never been envisaged as an exclusive means to settle disputes among Central American countries	343
3. The Contadora process cannot be a special procedure within the meaning of Article II because its subject-matter is distinct from the dispute before the Court	345
4. To require that the Contadora process has concluded before permitting Nicaragua to invoke the jurisdiction of the Court would not serve the purpose of the exhaustion requirement of Article IV	347

	<i>Page</i>
PART III	349
Chapter 5. <i>The meaning and application of the reservation of Honduras concerning armed conflicts</i>	349
A. General	349
B. Admissibility of the reservation	349
C. Failure to discharge the burden of proof	351
D. The application of the "armed conflicts" reservation in the light of the conduct of the parties	351
E. The criteria relevant to the determination of an armed conflict or acts of similar nature	354
(a) The concept of an armed conflict	354
(b) There must be a use of armed force which is persistent	355
(c) The use of armed force should have a marked intensity	355
(d) The "armed conflict" must be the subject of a notification to the Security Council in accordance with Chapter VII of the United Nations Charter	356
(e) The "armed conflict" must be the subject of a request by one of the States concerned for help in the exercise of collective self-defence	356
(f) There must be a recognition of belligerency and of the application of the laws of neutrality vis-à-vis a third State	356
(g) The continued existence of a pattern of normal diplomatic and economic relations creates a strong presumption against the existence of an "armed conflict" between the States concerned	357
(h) The attitude of third States in recognizing the absence of an armed conflict	357
F. The application of the criteria in the present case	358
G. The reservation does not possess an exclusively preliminary character.	358
H. Submissions relating to the "armed conflicts" reservation and "preliminary objection" of Honduras	359
PART IV. THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE APPLICATION	361
Chapter 6. <i>Nicaragua's application is fully admissible and justiciable</i>	362
A. The application is neither vague nor incomplete	362
B. Nicaragua's Application is a fully justiciable legal dispute	367
PART V. SUBMISSIONS	374
<i>Annexes to the Counter-Memorial of Nicaragua</i>	
Annex 1. <i>Dictionary of International Relations Terms</i> , United States Department of State Library, 1987	376
Annex 2. "Contra Presence in Honduras", <i>New York Times</i> , 18 April 1986	377
Annex 3. Interview and news conference given by President José Azcona, Tegucigalpa Cadena Audio Video and Radio America, 10 December 1986, printed in <i>FBIS</i> , 11 December 1986	378

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Annex 4.</i> "President Says He Hasn't Expelled Contras", United Press International, 11 December 1986	391
<i>Annex 5.</i> "Interview with President José Azcona", Tegucigalpa Voz de Honduras, 22 April 1987, printed in <i>FBIS</i> , 28 April 1987	392
<i>Annex 6.</i> "Honduran Congress Leader Says Nicaragua Rebels Should Go", Reuters North European Service, 2 March 1986	392
<i>Annex 7.</i> Article quoting Honduran Foreign Minister López Contreras, <i>El Tiempo</i> , 24 November 1986	392
<i>Annex 8.</i> Letter from AHPROCAFE to United States Congressmen, 12 January 1987	392
<i>Annex 9.</i> United States Economic and Military Aid to Honduras 1977-1986, from Banana Cases to Contra Bases: A Chronology of United States-Honduran Relations, January 1977 to July 1986, Central American Historical Institute (Washington, D.C., 1986); and "US Said to Link Latin Aid Support for Contras", <i>New York Times</i> , 18 May 1987	393
<i>Annex 10.</i> "Former Army Chief Says CIA Bribed Honduran Politicians", Associated Press, 1 April 1987	393
<i>Annex 11.</i> "Ousted Chief of Honduran Military was Hired as US Defense Consultant", <i>Washington Post</i> , 10 May 1987	393
<i>Annex 12.</i> Guasaule Declaration. Joint Communiqué issued by His Excellency the President of the Republic of Honduras General Policarpo Paz García, and the Coordinator of the National Reconstruction Governing Junta of Nicaragua, Commander of the Revolution Daniel Ortega Saavedra, as a result of their meeting on 13 May 1981 at the frontier station of El Guasaule, Nicaragua	393
<i>Annex 13.</i> Examples of Nicaraguan Proposals to Honduras	394
<i>Annex 14.</i> Communication from the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Contadora Group addressed to the five Central American Heads of State, 7 September 1984	398
<i>Annex 15.</i> Nicaraguan acceptance of Contadora, 22 September 1984	400
<i>Annex 16</i>	
A: "Document Describes How US 'Blocked' a Contadora Treaty", <i>Washington Post</i> , 6 November 1984	402
B: "Background Paper for NSC Meeting on Central America", 30 October 1984	403
<i>Annex 17.</i> Letter from Foreign Ministers of Contadora countries to Foreign Ministers of Central American countries, 6 June 1986	408
<i>Annex 18.</i> Nicaraguan response to Contadora, 17 June 1986	412
<i>Annex 19.</i> Response of Honduras to Contadora, 13 June 1986	415
<i>Annex 20.</i> Letter of Honduran Foreign Minister Contreras	417
<i>Annex 21.</i> "Flight Crew Log Trip and Expense Record", obtained by Government of Nicaragua from C-123 plane that was shot down over Nicaragua on 5 October 1986 and whose crew included Eugene Hasenfus	420
<i>Annex 22.</i> Note from the Government of Nicaragua to the Organization of American States concerning Honduras's "New Declaration" of 26 May 1986	421

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Annex 23. Opinion of the Inter-American Juridical Committee on the American Treaty on Pacific Settlement (Pact of Bogotá), Organization of American States doc. OEA/Ser.G, CP/doc. 1603/85, 3 September 1985</i>	423
Opinion of the Inter-American Juridical Committee on the American Treaty on Pacific Settlement (Pact of Bogotá)	424
Explanation of the vote of Dr. Manuel A. Vieira	452
Explanation of the vote of Dr. Luis Herrera Marciano	453
Explanation of the vote of Dr. Roberto MacLean Ugarteche	455
Report of the Rapporteur	456
<i>Annex 24. Act of Contadora for Peace and Co-operation in Central America (revised version)</i>	478
Preamble	478
Part I. Commitments	481
Chapter I. General commitments	481
Sole Section. Principles	481
Chapter II. Commitments on political matters	482
Section 1. Commitments in regard to regional détente and building of confidence.	482
Section 2. Commitments in regard to national reconciliation	483
Section 3. Commitments in regard to human rights	483
Section 4. Commitments in regard to electoral processes and legislative co-operation	484
Chapter III. Commitments on security matters	485
Section 1. Commitments in regard to military manoeuvres	485
Section 2. Commitments in regard to weapons	486
Section 3. Commitments in regard to foreign military bases	487
Section 4. Commitments in regard to foreign military advisers	487
Section 5. Commitments in regard to arms traffic	487
Section 6. Commitments in regard to prohibition of support to irregular forces	488
Section 7. Commitments in regard to terrorism, subversion or sabotage	488
Section 8. Commitments in regard to direct communication systems	489
Chapter IV. Commitments on economic and social matters	489
Section 1. Commitments in the economic and social area	489
Section 2. Commitments in regard to refugees	490
Part II. Commitments in regard to execution and follow-up	491
1. <i>Ad Hoc</i> Committee for Evaluation of and Follow-up on Commitments on Political Matters and in regard to Refugees	491
2. <i>Commission for Verification and Control in Security Matters</i>	492
3. <i>Ad Hoc</i> Committee for Evaluation of and Follow-up on Commitments in the Economic and Social Area	494
Part III. Final provisions	494

	<i>Page</i>
Appendix	495
Additional Protocol to the Act of Contadora for Peace and Co- operation in Central America	499
<i>Annex 25.</i> Note from Honduras to the United Nations, 18 April 1984.	501
<i>Annex 26.</i> "The Situation in Central America", Note by the Secre- tary-General, United Nations doc. S/16041, 18 October 1983	504
<i>Annex 27.</i> United Nations General Assembly resolution 38/10, adopted 11 November 1983	506
<i>Annex 28.</i> United Nations Security Council resolution 530 (1983), adopted 19 May 1983	509
Demande en indication de mesures conservatoires présentée par le Gouvernement du Nicaragua — Request for the Indication of Provi- sional Measures Submitted by the Government of Nicaragua	511
ATTACHMENTS TO THE REQUEST FOR THE INDICATION OF PROVI- SIONAL MEASURES	
Letter of 18 March 1988 from the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Nicaragua to the Minister of Foreign Relations of Honduras	515
Letter of 19 March 1988 from the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Nicaragua to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Honduras	516
Letter of 19 March 1988 from the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Nicaragua to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Honduras	517
President Daniel Ortega's message to the Nicaraguan people, 19 March 1988	518
LETTER FROM THE AGENT OF NICARAGUA TO THE REGISTRAR OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE NOTIFYING THE WITHDRAWAL BY THE GOVERNMENT OF NICARAGUA OF ITS REQUEST FOR THE INDICATION OF PROVISIONAL MEASURES	521

**REQUÊTE INTRODUCTIVE D'INSTANCE
PRÉSENTÉE PAR LE GOUVERNEMENT
DU NICARAGUA**

**APPLICATION INSTITUTING
PROCEEDINGS SUBMITTED BY THE
GOVERNMENT OF NICARAGUA**

MINISTERIO DEL EXTERIOR,
MANAGUA,
NICARAGUA.

25 July 1986.

To the Registrar of the International Court of Justice, the undersigned being duly authorized by the Republic of Nicaragua and being the Ambassador of the Republic of Nicaragua accredited at The Hague:

I have the honour to refer to the provisions of Article XXXI of the Pact of Bogotá and to the Declarations made by the Republic of Nicaragua and by the Republic of Honduras respectively, accepting the jurisdiction of the Court as provided for in Article 36, paragraphs 1 and 2, respectively of the Statute of the International Court of Justice and, by virtue of the consent to the jurisdiction based upon those instruments, either jointly or separately, to submit, in accordance with Article 40 of the Statute and Article 38 of the Rules of Court, an Application instituting proceedings in the name of the Republic of Nicaragua against the Republic of Honduras on the following grounds:

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. The Somoza Régime was overthrown by revolutionary forces in July 1979, and a new Government was installed in Nicaragua.

2. Members of the military and paramilitary forces of the deposed government fled to neighbouring countries. Many of these ex-national guardsmen sought refuge in Honduras from whence they launched armed attacks against the territory of Nicaragua.

3. At first, these armed attacks consisted of sporadic forays into Nicaraguan territory with the object of rustling cattle and pillaging peasant communities.

4. In the course of 1980, the attacks became more frequent and acquired a more political and military objective. Government installations were destroyed and military patrols ambushed. At the time Nicaragua was in the midst of a literacy campaign that involved hundreds of thousands of people, mostly young students. They became targets of the armed bands and many were murdered or kidnapped.

5. All of these activities were reported to the Honduran Government by means of diplomatic notes immediately.

6. In 1981, the character and the composition of the armed bands started to change. They were better equipped and trained: their organization became more of a military nature and the size of the armed bands increased. It was becoming apparent to the Nicaraguan Government that they could not operate with such freedom without the complicity or at least the indifference of the Honduran authorities.

7. On April 19, 1981, Nicaraguan border patrols captured two members of the Honduran armed forces inside Nicaraguan territory. They confessed that the purpose of their mission was to gather intelligence information to be used by the armed bands attacking Nicaragua.

8. These activities were the object of strong protest notes by the Nicaraguan Government to the Honduran authorities.

9. On May 13, 1981, the Head of State of Nicaragua met with the President of Honduras in the border post of El Guasaule. At that meeting the Honduran authorities made commitments to help stop the armed incursions into Nicaragua made both by the somocista armed bands and by elements of the Honduran army.

10. Unfortunately, the commitments made in the meeting of Heads of State were ignored by the Honduran authorities.

11. By the end of 1981 and the beginning of 1982, the armed attacks increased to such an extent in the Nicaraguan territory bordering Honduras that much of the civilian population in that area had to be evacuated.

12. This situation led the Nicaraguan Government to request an urgent meeting of the Security Council of the United Nations on April 1982. On that occasion the United States vetoed a resolution concerning questions of principle.

13. Since 1982 to the present, the attacks from Honduras have consistently increased. The armed bands, that generally became known as the *contra* forces, are openly based in Honduran territory and have increased in size to more than 15,000 men.

14. Public statements by Honduran authorities at the highest level confirm that the *contra* forces operate with the knowledge and assistance of the Honduran Government.

15. President José Azcona Hoyos of Honduras stated in a press conference in April 1986, that the Government of Honduras could withdraw the *contras* from Honduran territory and that they had "the capacity of doing it immediately".

16. The President of the Honduran Congress has publicly acknowledged that the *contras* are based on Honduran territory and that this is done in order to back the struggle against the "Marxist-Leninists of Nicaragua".

17. The *contra* forces operate in such an open fashion in Honduras and in such numbers, that the ex-Rector of the National University of Honduras, Dr. Arturo Jorge Reina, has stated that in Honduras "there are three armies: the Honduran, the United States army, and the army of the Nicaraguan *contras*".

18. United States government officials, among them the Secretary of Defense, have made public statements to the effect that the *contra* forces operate inside Honduras with the Honduran authorities' knowledge and tolerance.

19. Apart from aiding and abetting the *contras*, the Honduran military forces have directly participated in military attacks on Nicaragua and have given vital intelligence and logistical support to the *contras*. Some examples of these acts are:

- April 4, 1982: three squadrons of the Honduran army from the military company stationed in the border area of "El Guasaule" in full army uniform penetrated Nicaraguan territory in the area of "La Ceiba", situated 5 kilometres west of Somitillo, and proceeded to kidnap 21 peasants from that sector. The peasants were taken to the Honduran city of Choluteca.
- June 5, 1983: in the sector called "El Porvenir", situated 16 kilometres northeast of Jalapa, Nicaragua, a force of about 600 *contras* penetrated Nicaraguan territory with protective cover fire of cannon and mortar from the Honduran army. Members of the Honduran army took part with

the *contra* force in the incursion into Nicaraguan territory. Twenty Nicaraguans lost their lives in this operation.

- October 3, 1983: the Nicaraguan army downed a military airplane that was transporting military supplies to the *contras* in the sector of “Los Cedros”, 25 kilometres north of the Rio Blanco, inside Nicaragua. The aircraft was a Douglas DC-3C that had taken off from the airstrip “El Aguacate”, in Catacamas, Department of Olancho, in Honduras. The aircraft was piloted by ex-army officers of the Somoza guardia nacional. Three of them were captured, and confessed the assistance given by the Honduran army.
- February 3, 1984: four aircraft of the Push and Pull type approached from Honduran territory and attacked a military unit of the Nicaraguan army in the sector of Manzanillo, Department of Chinandega. The airplanes withdrew after the attack into Honduran territory.
- April 18, 1985: three combat planes of the Honduran airforce attacked and sank a Nicaraguan coast guard boat 10 miles southwest of Cape Gracias a Dios in Nicaragua’s sovereign and jurisdictional waters. This attack left one dead and one missing.
- March 14, 1986: three detachments of *contras* of approximately 60 men each, made an incursion into Nicaraguan territory in the sector of the border pass of La Fraternidad, located on the Pan-American highway. The attack was against the Nicaraguan customs house. The Honduran army gave support fire to the attack with mortars and rifles.

20. The Honduran Government has also used the threat of force against Nicaragua not only in words but also in facts. Since 1981 they have constructed military airports, naval bases and other military infrastructure along the border with Nicaragua, and have continuously held manoeuvres with the United States Army with the express object of intimidating Nicaragua.

II. LEGAL GROUNDS ON WHICH THE CLAIM IS BASED

21. On the basis of the above statement of facts, Nicaragua claims that Honduras has incurred legal responsibility for the breach of major obligations arising under the *United Nations Charter* and other *multilateral treaties*, and also from the breach of certain well-established obligations of customary international law. The particular legal grounds on which Nicaragua bases its claims are as follows.

1. Breaches of the Charter of the United Nations

22. The facts on which Nicaragua relies disclose serious and persistent violations of the provisions of the *United Nations Charter*, Article 2, paragraph 4, according to which all Members of the United Nations shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any State. The conduct of Honduras (as described above) involves both the use of force and the threat of force. In particular both the direct attacks by elements of the Honduran armed forces and the assistance provided to the somocista armed bands in their attacks on the territory of Nicaragua and its civilian population constitute the use of force against Nicaragua. In addition, the Government of Honduras by means of military manoeuvres and associated activities in conjunction with the armed forces of the United States has used the threat of force against Nicaragua.

2. *Breaches of the Charter of the Organization of American States*

23. The acts of Honduras (as described above) constitute serious and persistent violations of the provisions of Article 18 of the Charter of the Organization of American States, according to which Honduras is under the obligation not to intervene directly or indirectly for any reason whatsoever in the internal or external affairs of Nicaragua, whether by armed force or by any other form of interference or attempted threat against the personality of Nicaragua or against its political, economic or cultural elements.

24. The acts of Honduras also involve serious and persistent violations of the provisions of Article 20 of the Charter of the Organization of American States, according to which the territory of Nicaragua is inviolable and must not be subjected to military occupation or other forcible measures, directly or indirectly, on any grounds whatever.

3. *Breaches of the American Treaty for the Peaceful Settlement [of Disputes] (Pact of Bogotá)*

25. The acts of Honduras (as described above) constitute further serious and persistent violations of the provisions of Article I of the American Treaty for the Peaceful Settlement of Disputes ("Pact of Bogotá"), according to which Honduras is under an obligation to abstain from the threat or use of force, or any coercion, as a means of settling disputes.

4. *Breaches of the Obligation of Customary International Law Not to Intervene in the Affairs of Another State*

26. A significant element in the conduct of Honduras in the relevant period (as described above) has been the pattern of assistance to and toleration of the violent actions of armed bands of somocistas operating against Nicaragua from bases within Honduras. The conduct of Honduras in this respect involves serious and persistent violations of the well-established obligation of customary international law not to intervene in the affairs of Nicaragua. The serious character of the violations is enhanced by the publicly proclaimed objective of the *contras* and their supporters in Honduras to overthrow the Government of Nicaragua.

5. *Breaches of the Obligation of Customary International Law Not to Use Force against Another State*

27. The policy of assistance to the armed bands of somocistas adopted by Honduras involves breaches of the obligation of customary international law not to use force against another State: and so also the direct attacks against Nicaragua by the armed forces of Honduras constitute serious breaches of this same obligation.

6. *Breaches of the Obligation of Customary International Law Not to Violate the Sovereignty of Another State*

28. The direct attacks carried out against Nicaragua by the armed forces of Honduras (as described above) constitute breaches of the obligation not to violate the sovereignty of another State. Moreover, certain attacks have involved the brutal kidnapping of Nicaraguan citizens by Honduran armed forces, as, for example, during the incursion by Honduran forces on April 4, 1982.

7. Breaches of the Obligation of Customary International Law Not to Kill, Wound or Kidnap the Citizens of Other States

29. The conduct of Honduras (as described above) constitutes serious and persistent breaches of the obligation under customary international law not to kill, wound or kidnap the citizens of other States.

III. THE NATURE OF THE CLAIM

30. On the basis of the foregoing statement of facts and considerations of law, Nicaragua, reserving the right to supplement or to amend this Application and subject to the presentation to the Court of the relevant evidence and legal argument, requests the Court to adjudge and declare as follows:

- (a) that the acts and omissions of Honduras in the material period constitute breaches of the various obligations of customary international law and the treaties specified in the body of this Application for which the Republic of Honduras bears legal responsibility;
- (b) that Honduras is under a duty immediately to cease and to refrain from all such acts as may constitute breaches of the foregoing legal obligations;
- (c) that Honduras is under an obligation to make reparation to the Republic of Nicaragua for all injury caused to Nicaragua by the breaches of obligations under the pertinent rules of customary international law and treaty provisions.

31. Nicaragua reserves the right to present a request that the Court indicate *interim measures of protection*.

32. The Government of Nicaragua has designated the undersigned as its Agent for the purposes of these proceedings. All communications relating to this case should be sent to the Embassy of the Republic of Nicaragua, The Hague, Wattstraat 19.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) Carlos ARGÜELLO GÓMEZ,
Agent of the Republic
of Nicaragua.
